**Statement by H.E. Mr. Muhammad Anshor**
**Deputy Permanent Representative of the Republic of Indonesia**
**to the United Nations**

**at the Sixth Meeting of the Inter-governmental negotiation**
**on the Post-2015 Development Agenda**
**New York**
**22 June 2015**

**Mr. Co-Facilitators,**

I would like to begin by associating my statement with the statement delivered by the distinguished representative of South Africa on behalf of the G77 and China.

First of all I would like to join others in thanking you, Co-Facilitators and the Secretariat for the hard work and relentless efforts in the preparation of the zero draft. We share the view that the zero draft could be the basis for further negotiation.

Co-facilitator (Ambassador Kamau) this morning raised very pertinent questions on how to address the linkage of the post-2015 development agenda process with the FFD process in the draft. Our first response to these questions is to express our fervent conviction that the outcomes of the Third International Conference on Financing for Development should never perceived as the substitution of the Goal 17 of the SDGs or as the "MoI pillar of the post-2015 development agenda", but rather contribute as an important complimentary element in the post-2015 development agenda process. We will get back with further response to these questions at the later stage of this week.

**Mr. Co-Facilitators,**

We welcome the inclusion of the SDGs from the Report of the OWG on SDGs as well as the MoI-related SDGs under Chapter II on Means of Implementation and Global Partnership. We also welcome the inclusion of the Food for Thought Paper in the Annex and look forward for further consultation on this matter. We also welcome the inclusion of the Introduction of the OWG on SDGs Report in the Annex 3 of the zero draft, however, we maintain our fervent belief that the UNGA mandate to integrate the SDGs in the post-2015 development agenda meant that the integration of the Report in the outcome document should be in its entirety, which include the introduction part.

We further commend your efforts in making sure that the language in the declaration are not overtly "UN language" and could be easily understood by both, the diplomats in the UN, and also by the general public. We are of the view that there are some parts in the declaration that needs further work.

**Mr. Co-Facilitators,**

We have listened attentively to member states interventions and would like to contribute our preliminary response towards the Preambular and Declaration part of the zero draft. Allow me to highlight the following areas:

**First, the preamble.**

We are of the view that the preamble is unnecessary because the issues that were captured in this part on the "plan of action for people, planet and prosperity as well as the partnership to implement the agenda" as well as the notion "leaving no one behind" have actually been reflected in the Declaration. We felt that the listing of the Agenda seemed rather "cherry picking" and does not reflect the actual SDGs in its entirety.

**Second, the Declaration.**

We would also like to echo the sentiment that the draft declaration has managed to reflect many pertinent issues in the context of the post-2015 development agenda. We are pleased to see the focus on poverty eradication as the greatest global challenge and an indispensible requirement for sustainable development. We fully support the reflection of the principle of common but differentiated responsibility, the right to development and policy space.

We further commended the co-facilitators attempts to set the right balance to the document, however, we observed that there are some areas of concern in the Declaration part, that we felt either have not yet reflected or has distorted the formulation or understanding that we fervently wish could be further clarified and revised in our future consultations.

Our obvervation on the Declaration part are as follows:

**First, the notion of differentiation** between developed and developing countries has not adequately reflected in the Declaration. We are of the view that it is imperative that the Declaration part to reflect the different capacities, development stages and circumstances of member states.

This is particularly with regard to the paragraph regarding the sustainable consumption and production. The 10 Year Framework of programmes on sustainable consumption and production pattern reiterates the notion that developed countries take the lead in the implementation of the SCP, therefore this notion should be reflected accordingly in the zero draft.

Echoing the G77 and China statement, we are also concerned with the confusing term "historic responsibilities in the context of climate change". Preambular paragraph 3 of the UNFCC states that the term "historical" refers to "the largest share of historical global

emissions of the Green House Gases, which has originated in developed countries" Therefore, we are of the view the term "historical resposibility for all states" in the draft is inconsistent and needs to be corrected.

**Second**, we are also of the view that the sub-cluster on "Our World Today" in paragraph 12 to 14 **needs to be further balanced**. The framing of migration is one of the issues that we fervently believe need to be revisited. Others include the portion on the challenges of inequalities between/among states; as well as the gap in the access and opportunities for developing countries in the decision making process in global economic governance.

We agree that the post-2015 development agenda is a universal agenda that needs to transcent both the South and the North, however the differentiation of the condition and circumstances, as well as the obligation of the North to take the lead, and support the South in ensuring their implementation of the post-2015 development agenda should also be adequately reflected.

**Third**, with regard to the sub-cluster on "Implementation", we are of the view that the formulation of paragraph 36 should **highlight the partnership between government**, then complemented by the engagement and active contribution by all stakeholders.

With regard to the Follow up and Review, we will refer to the issue at the later stage of the discussion this week. I thank you Mr. Co-facilitators.

***